

App F IX 4

Previous References

✓
(194/)
ML

ML File No 143 - 4/
Serial Nos 1 2 3

Later References

A 4 101-41-1

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Two three

Part B for Decr 1941

SUBJECT

Recognition of the Veterinary dispensary at
Davanagere as an infirmary under Section
6(2) of the Prevention of Cruelty to animals Act
1895

To The D C & Distt Magistrate, Chitalang
· Do of Age
· Comptroller
" Comptroller

Sl 23) L 5424-7 } D 23 12-41
L 5428 }
Sanctions the

M/31/142

4215-
General and Revenue
Secretariat

Infirmary for animals at Davangere Town

File No

of 194

[App F III-2]

Serial
No

Letter no JS c 1420/40-21
dated 23-2-41 from the Deputy Commr
Chitaldrug Dist recommending,
in the circumstances stated, sanction
to recognise the Veterinary Dis-
pensary at Davangere as an Infirm-
Infirmary under Section 6(2) of
the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals
Act of 1895

1 If the Veterinary Dispensary
at Davangere is recognised as an
infirmary, it appears that the
services of a care-taker for the
purpose will be necessary, and it
is not known from what source
the pay of the care-taker will be met

2 The request of the Dy Commr
to recognise the Veterinary Dispensary
at Davangere as an Infirmary for
purposes of the aforesaid Act may
be sanctioned after consulting the
Agriculture Section,

Km
21.5.41

3 The list of cases falling under
Sec 6(1) of the Prevention of Cruelty
to Animals Act and Sec 5 of the Police
Act said to have been received from
the Special I class magistrates, Davangere
has not been enclosed

4 There is no definite information

Veterinary Dispensary at Davanagere - being declared as an infirmary under sec 6(2) of the Act 4215 Animal Act

File No

of 194

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No


is to be who should pay for the caretaker
on a pay of Rs 10/- to be appointed for the
purpose in the Veterinary Dispensary & whether
his pay could be met out of the fees to be
levied from the persons whose animals would
be taken care of

The Director of Agriculture may perhaps
be consulted initially about declaring the
Veterinary Dispensary at Davanagere as
an infirmary under sec 6(2) of the Act
and whether a caretaker could be appointed
by the Veterinary Dept to look after such
animals

no
3/4/41

Law Secy) July 3-4
6 'A' may be done

Hm
4/4/41



Forwarded with compliments
to the Director of Agriculture in Mysore
for favor of opinion

24-4-41)

By order
H. S. Chalam
For Law Secy

No Hk 64-208/41-42

The recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District to recognise the Veterinary
Dispensary

No No L184

5-4-41

7/4/41
C V D

xxx
A1 B
7/4 7/4
7/4 7/4

Infirmary for animals at Davanagere
11215/K — appointment of Veterinary

Serial
No


Dispensary at Davanagere as an Infirmary under Section 6 (2) of the Act for the prevention of cruelty to animals may be accepted. In that case it becomes very necessary to augment the present staff of the Dispensary by an additional staff of one Saluistry on Rs 20/- per mensem and one caretaker on Rs 10/- per mensem exclusively to look after the inpatients, the extra cost involved on this account being met out of the savings under 27 B, i, b, New Hospitals, in the sanctioned budget of the Civil Veterinary Department.

Bangalore
5th Novr

Vikramabha
Director of Agriculture

To

Ray
The ~~General~~ Secretary with compliments



Mr KMS

10

For orders whether the proposal may be sanctioned as suggested by the Director of Agriculture

1 km
10/11/41

11th draft (on notice) may be put up

10/11

for 11/11/41

Submitted with a draft - notice for appn
10/11/41 (A draft - notification is also put up)

10/11

Memorandum for animals at Bangalore Town

✓ 4215/L

ML File No


of 1941

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No

1.) The draft - G.O. as revised may be
got typed

file
29/11/41




14) A typed copy of the G.O. as revised
is put up as directed

14/12/41
6/12/41

15 May be approved

Law Secy - for
6/12/41




1 May be approved
Minstr -)
L.S.)

Hm
9/12/41

for

7/12/41



Hm
2
11/12/41

~~for~~ 11/12/41


for perusal before issue

Minstr -)
Rev & Fin
tho Gen Secy)

Hm
2
12/12/41

for

12/12/41




Hm
2
13/12/41

for 19/12/41

Hm
2
17/12/41

Issue
17/12/41



Serial
No

To

The Dy Commr and Sec Hq Chitaldung
The Director of Agriculture
The Comptroller to Govt-

The Comptroller Hq Govt

2

No L 54 24-27 d 23-12-41

Sanctions the recommendation of the
Dy Commr and Sec Hq Chitaldung Dist-

B

File No

of 194

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Serial
No

Tel Address — Depcom
CHITALDRUG

In reply please refer to
No J3 Q. 1420/40-41
Enclosures *One*

Rec 143-41-1

42157
B

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT

Dated Chitaldrug 20th February 1941

FROM

W. W. S.
R P Mallappa, Esq, B A, LL B,
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District

To

GENERAL SECRETARY.
25 FEB 1941

T Appaji Gowda, Esq, B A (Hons),
Secretary to Government,
General Department,
Bangalore

L S G

Sir, *W*

Flag K

Flag L

not recd

I have the honour to state that the provisions of Act
I of 1895 - prevention of cruelty to Animals Act - have been
extended to Chitaldrug and Davangere Towns in this District in
Government Notification Nos 2624-32 J 294 dated 20-8-1895 and
L 5511/VI 41-30-92 dated 6-1-1931 respectively As no infir-
maries have been appointed either at Chitaldrug or at Davangere
as per Sec 6(1) of the Act, the practice prevailing in this -
District has been only to fine the offenders under Section 6(1)
of the Act and to allow them to take away the infirm animals with
them As offences under Section 6(1) of the prevention of, --
cruelty to Animals Act and Section 57 Mysore Police Act, have
been on increase as could be seen from the enclosed statement
received from the Special I Class Magistrate, Davangere with his
No 456/40-41 dated 16-1-41 and as it is necessary to give proper
rest and treatment to such animals before they are again put for
use, I request you to kindly obtain sanction of Government under
Section 6(1) of the Act to appoint the Veterinary Dispensary at
Davangere as an infirmary for treatment and care of animals in
respect of which offences have been committed

The District Veterinary and Live Stock Officer, Chitaldrug,
who was consulted in the matter says that the Veterinary Dispensary
at Chitaldrug has no infirmary wards attached to it, that the

one at Davangere may be recognised as an infirmary as it is provided with good inpatient ward and that for this purpose, the appointment of a care-taker on Rs 10/- per month is -- necessary. Copies of his letters Nos 1973 dated 23-11-40 and 2860 dated 15-2-41 are enclosed herein for kind reference

As regards the cost of treatment, feeding and watering of the animals in the infirmary, they are to be payable by the owners of the animals according to such scale of fees as may be prescribed by me under Section 6(4) of the Act. The scale of fees will be fixed by me after receipt of sanction of Government in the matter of recognising the Veterinary Dispensary at Davangere as an infirmary.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,



District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug Dist

MT

Letter No 1973 dated 23rd November 1940 from the District
Veterinary and Live Stock Officer, Chitaldrug, to the Deputy
Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

With reference to your memo re my opinion of opening an
S V A in this District, I have the honour to inform you that
the present system adopted in this District is that the owners
of animals are punished with a fine by the Magistrate under -
Police Regulation, whenever they are caught by the Police using
such animals. The latter send such animals to the Veterinary
Institutions for treatment and obtain a certificate for launch-
ing a case against the owner in the Magistrate's Court. The
Magistrate after enquiry punish the owners usually with a fine
and direct them to take the animals to the Veterinary Institu-
tions for treatment with strict instructions not to use them
for work until they are fit. But in the majority of cases it
is observed, that the owners not only fail to bring the animals
to the Hospital for treatment but also use them for work even
though they are unfit inspite^{of} their being punished. So the
existing system does not at all fulfil the very object with
which they are punished to prevent cruelty to animals.

Promotion of kindness to animals which is adopted in Mysore
and Bangalore Cities is really a laudable object which not only
prevents cruelty to animals but also enforces the owners to show
kindness to animals. The same system may very well be adopted
in this District and the same procedure set forth by the City
Magistrate, Mysore, may also be applied with slight variations
according to the local conditions, provided certain factors are
fulfilled

(1) The Local Magistrate and Police must co-operate in
enforcing the rules as is done in Mysore City and in collaboration
with Coraxana Sals.

(2) There ought to be provided sufficient number of infirmary
wards attached to the Hospital to keep the infirmed animals until
they are cured.

(3) There ought to be extra one or two care-takers to look after the infirmed animals

(4) Certain rules may be framed to suit the local conditions. An association is essential for achieving ideals and its promotion, with a sound financial basis, ~~also~~ ^{else} the scheme will prove abortive. As at Mysore monthly subscriptions or donations have to be collected and the funds to be utilised for helping the invalid dumb animals that are considered to be unfit for either permanently or temporarily.

Two main considerations stand out conspicuously in the launching of the scheme. One is extra finance to meet the feeding of the animals and housing them since the present contingent allotment of the Hospital does not provide to meet such charges. Second is appointment of one or two care-takers to look after the infirm/ animals.

The main and most praiseworthy in this organisation is, the relief of the dumb creatures without causing inconveniences to the poor ryot or labourer. It may be mentioned here incidentally that in large percentage of cases, the animal is only the source of income to the owner. If this idea is kept in view, the mere prosecution of the owner will neither redress nor improve the situation, though in some instances punishment is necessary to correct the owner.

It appears to me that an appeal to the sympathetic public may enable the society to raise some funds but this will be too meagre and will be of transitory nature. If public bodies, Panchayats etc. contribute a small percentage much work will be done. Apart from this many other sources such as cattle-pounds, toll on cattle and benevolent bodies may help a great deal, and particularly some of the cattle-fairs which yield a good revenue, may allot a portion of their income in furthering the welfare of the dumb animals.

The society cannot at present be started in Chitaldrug since

there are no infirmary wards attached to the Veterinary Hospital
to keep the animals as inpatients But in Davangere Town S P K A
may be introduced as the Institution has been provided with good
inpatient ward in collaboration with the ~~of~~ Goraxana Sala run by
the philanthropic gentlemen

In conclusion I may be permitted to state that allotment of
pasture lands in the ~~of~~ neighbour-hood is also essential for grazing
of those animals which are permanently maimed, to avoid such ani-
mals being constantl kept and fed in the Pinjarpole

'Copy"



District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug Dist

91 copy
-j-

Ne 143-41-2

Proceedings of the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Head -

1) Notification No L 5311/M 41-30-92 dated 5th January 1931, extending the provisions of ~~the~~ Act I of 1895, Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act to the local area comprised within the limits of the Davangere Town

2) Letter No J3-C 1420/40-41 dated 23rd February 1941 from the District Magistrate and Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, recommending, in the circumstances stated, sanction to recognise the Veterinary Dispensary at Davangere as an infirmary under Section 6(2) of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, I of 1895

3) Un-official note No A4 64-208/41-42 dated 5th November 1941, from the Director of Agriculture furnishing his views in the matter

Order No L 57424-27
Dated, Bangalore, 23rd Decem-
ber 1941

Under Section 6(2) of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, I of 1895, Government are pleased to recognise the veterinary Dispensary at Davangere as an

^{the}
Infirmary for treatment and care of animals
in respect of which offences under Section
6(1) of the Act are committed within the
limits of the Davangere Town

2. The District Magistrate, Chitaldrug
District, is requested to take necessary
action for prescribing ^{under} ~~the~~ sub section ⁴ ~~re-~~
~~specting~~ Section 6 of the Act, the scale or
rates for the treatment, feeding and watering
of sick animals

3. The Director of Agriculture is requested
to take ^{action} ~~necessary~~ for appointing the additional
^{if any, in this connection}
staff ~~required~~ for the aforesaid veterinary
Dispensary ~~at the place~~

Hm
9/12/41
for Secretary to Government
Law Department

10--The Deputy Commissioner and District
Magistrate, Chitaldrug District,

The Director of Agriculture in Mysore ✓

The Comptroller to Government ✓

The compiler, Mysore Gazette for
publication in Part I of the Gazette

6/11
presenting 100 ceps to the Secy

Copy to the A & F Branch
of Anti-Breach
'Police Section'

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read —

(1) Notification No. L. 5512/1.11-3-32 dated the 6th January 1932, entailing the provisions of (Act I of 1905) Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, the 1st area comprised within the limits of the Davangere Taluk.

(2) Letter No. JS. C. 2105/32-33 dated the 10th February 1932, from the District Magistrate and Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, recommending, in the circumstances stated, an order to recognise the Veterinary Dispensary at Davangere as an Infirmary under Section 6 (B) of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, I of 1905.

(3) Unofficial note No. 14.64-333/32-33 dated the 6th November 1931, from the Director of Agriculture furnishing his view in the matter.

Order No. L. 5424-27/178148-41-2
Dated the, Bangalore 23rd December 1931.

Under Section 6 (B) of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, I of 1905, Government are pleased to recognise the Veterinary Dispensary at Davangere as an Infirmary for the treatment and care of animals in respect of which offences under section 6 (1) of the Act are committed within the limits of the Davangere Taluk.

2. The District Magistrate, Chitaldrug District, is requested to take necessary action for prescribing a fee sub section 4 of Section 6 of the Act, the scale of return for the treatment, feeding and watering of sick animals.

3. The Director of Agriculture is requested to take necessary

C.

necessary action for appointing the additional staff if any
required in this connection for the proposed Veterinary
Department.

J. A. C. H. A. M. A. N.
Secretary to Government,
The Department.

73

The Deputy Commissioner and District
Magistrate, Chittagong District,
The Director of Agriculture in Charge,
The Controller to Government,
The Comptroller, Government of India,
Publication in Part I of the
Gazette and sending 10 copies
to the Secretariat.

115-11